WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

1	,	
	,	
١,		

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Robyn Lynn White		Robyn Lynn White Case Number: <u>09-6047M</u>	
	ordance tablishe	vith the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts (Check one or both, as applicable.)	
	,	and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant prize trial in this case.	
	by a p this ca	eponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in e.	
		PART I FINDINGS OF FACT	
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed	
		an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§ 801 et seq., 951 et seq, or 46 U.S.C. App. § 1901 et seq.	
		an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b.	
		an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crimes of terrorism) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed.	
		an offense involving a minor victim prescribed in 1	
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.	
		Alternative Findings	
\boxtimes	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.	
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).	
	(4)		
		PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)	
	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establish by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:	

Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnapping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity offense), § 2422 (coercion or enticement for a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate facilities to transmit information about a minor).

Case 2:09-mj-06047-DKD Document 7 Filed 02/12/09 Page 2 of 2

(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.
	There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered.
	The defendant previously attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of
The de	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:
superv	tion: burt detained Ms. White because she admitted using illegal drugs during a time when she was on federal pret ision as well as on state probation. Indeed the drug use occurred at a time when she was the subject of revocated in the last of the pregnant twin childres in state court. Defense coursel explained that this was a relapse following the loss of her pregnant twin childress in state court.

The Court detained Ms. White because she admitted using illegal drugs during a time when she was on federal pretrial supervision as well as on state probation. Indeed the drug use occurred at a time when she was the subject of revocation proceedings in state court. Defense counsel explained that this was a relapse following the loss of her pregnant twin children. Also militating against release was Defendant's substantial criminal history which includes failures to abide by court ordered supervision. It is noteworthy that the allegations in the New Mexico case arise at a time when Defendant was on state probation. Finally, the Court considered the December 8, 2008 Memorandum of U.S. Probation Officer Herrera in which he reports that Defendant was less than candid about the reasons for her need to return to Arizona for drug testing. To her credit, Defendant admits that she is in need of intensive substance abuse treatment and it may reasonably be argued that she in effect self surrended on the instant warrant because she appeared at the office of the Pretrial Services Officer knowing that she would likely be arrested. Nevertheless, these factors in her favor do not presently outweigh the factors warranting detention. In light of Ms. White's prior failures to abide by and cooperate with Court ordered supervision the Court does not believe that any condition exists short of detention to reasonably assure her future appearance.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 12th day of February, 2009.

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge